

7 | NO-SHOW FOLLOW-UP PHONE SCRIPT

Agency: Orange County Health Care Agency Contact Name: Marlon Velasco

City: Santa Ana State: California Contact Email: myelasco@ochca.com

Subpopulation: MSM of Color Contact Phone: (714) 834-8426

Regional Group: California Evidence of Improvement: Yes Other Data: Yes

Category: Clinic Flow

Intervention: Created script for front office to follow up with no-shows by phone.

Change Ideas:

• Phone script was developed based on no-show list in EMR

• In addition to following up to reschedule, phone script included an inquiry on possible transportation barriers

Inform those patients who are eligible for transportation assistance through outreach

Provided transportation assistance through bus passes if necessary, after follow up no-show call

• Case management referral not needed

Intervention Description:

There is some evidence that shows that follow-up phone calls for missed appointments reduce no-show rates, which has been proven along with reminder phone calls.¹ A no-show script that identified barriers to keeping appointments was developed for front office clerical staff to follow up with no-show patients 15 minutes after the appointment time to reschedule. This intervention was carried out due to no-shows being identified from a Cause and Effect Diagram as a cause of poor viral suppression among MSM of color. The phone script included asking about possible transportation barriers for patients. If transportation was found to be a barrier, a bus pass was mailed to the patients. Phone script was developed based on no-show list in EMR. This intervention helped streamline the no-show follow-up process. Among the staff making the phone calls were the eligibility staff, since they tend to have better responses from patients concerned about maintaining Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program benefits such as access to medical, food, or housing services. Those who were eligible to receive transportation assistance were informed during outreach activities. In the clinic, the overall no-show rate decreased by 2% during this intervention. In a 6-month period during the intervention, there was a 28.6% increase in patients attending their next follow-up appointment. Viral suppression among the youth subpopulation has increased from 87% in 2018 to 90.9% currently.

¹ Adams JA, Whiteman K, McGraw S. Reducing Missed Appointments for Patients With HIV. Journal of Nursing Care Quality. 2019. 35(2):165–170.



| Do you have measurable data to demonstrate the | How effective was this intervention to | What are the start and end data points for | Was this intervention |
|--|--|---|--|
| effectiveness of this | increase viral suppression or reduce HIV disparities? (Scale from 1-4) | the intervention to indicate the measurable impact? | tested/implemented during the Collaborative? |
| intervention? | The disputities. (Scale from 1 4) | mpace. | conditionative. |
| | | In the clinic, the overall no-show rate has | |
| Yes | 4-Highly Effective | decreased by 2% | Yes |
| Is this intervention replicable | How do you rate the ease of | How much financial support do you estimate | |
| across other HIV | replication of the intervention by other | was necessary to test your intervention per | |
| subpopulations of the | HIV providers? (Scale from 1-4) | patient? (\$-No Additional Agency Costs; \$\$-1 | |
| Collaborative? | | to 49 US Dollars; \$\$\$-50-99 US Dollars or | |
| | | more; \$\$\$-100 or more US Dollars; Don't | |
| | | Know) | |
| | | | |
| Yes | 4-Very Easy to Replicate | \$-No Additional Costs | |