SEPTEMBER 26, 2023

LEVERAGING A DATA TO CARE (D2C) APPROACH TO **CURE HEPATITIS CVIRUS** (HCV) AMONG PEOPLE WITH HIV **Jurisdiction Perspectives**

PROJECT OVERVIEW



- Two-year HRSA HAB initiative that was extended for a third year under a no cost extension
- Yale University School of Medicine served as the Technical Assistance Provider (TAP)
- 7 participating jurisdictions

TWO MAIN PROJECT COMPONENTS

HCV Clearance Cascades for Co-Infected Individuals

Outreach and Linkage to Care

PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- Project activities and data overview: Yale University School of Medicine
- Jurisdiction perspectives: Arizona Department of Health Services
- Lessons learned and recommendations: Debbie Isenberg
- Question/answers

POLL QUESTION

- What best describes where you work?
 - RWHAP funded clinic
 - RWHAP Part A or B recipient
 - State or local HIV surveillance program
 - State or local HCV surveillance program
 - Other (Chat in your response)

Innovative HCV Care Strategies for HIV/HCV Co-infection

Yale school of medicine

Technical Assistance Provider

M. Villanueva, MD

HCV Viral Clearance Goal >80%



DIVISION OF VIRAL HEPATITIS

> 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN



VIRAL HEPATITIS NATIONAL STRATEGIC PLAN OVERARCHING GOAL: Elimination by 2030



Jurisdictional Viral Clearance Cascade

Importance of Jurisdictional HCV Clearance Cascade

- Clearance cascade is a TOOL to help jurisdictions visualize diagnosis and treatment milestones
- Identify gaps in care
- Monitor micro-elimination efforts
- Key step in 2025 National Hepatitis Strategy

HCV clearance cascade is critical for monitoring progress and Identifying opportunities for intervention to achieve national elimination goals

Public Health ReportsOnlineFirst, May 4, 2023

Development of a Standardized, Laboratory Result–Based Hepatitis C Virus Clearance Cascade for Public Health Jurisdictions

Martha P. Montgomery, MD, MHS, Lindsey Sizemore, MPH, Heather Win gate, MPH, et al.



Abbreviations: anti-HCV+, antibody positive; Ag+, antigen positive; RNA+, ribonucleic acid positive

Note: Viral testing includes any HCV RNA, HCV genotype, or HCV core antigen test. (+) is defined as detectable HCV RNA or antigen; (-) is defined as undetectable HCV RNA or antigen.

Hepatitis C Virus Clearance Cascade — United States, 2013–2022

Carolyn Wester, MD¹; Ademola Osinubi, MS¹; Harvey W. Kaufman, MD²; Hasan Symum, PhD³; William A. Meyer III, PhD²; Xiaohua Huang, MS²; William W. Thompson, PhD¹



FIGURE 1. Hepatitis C virus clearance cascade using national commercial laboratory data — United States, 2013–2022

Source: Quest Diagnostics (January 1, 2013-December 31, 2022).

Wester C, Osinubi A, Kaufman HW et. al. Hepatitis C Virus Clearance Cascade-United States, 2013-2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2023; 72: 716-720. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwf.mm7226a3

Jurisdictional Clearance Cascades for HIV/HCV Co-infected Persons Using Surveillance Data: Core Steps



Creating the HCV Clearance Cascade: Key Steps

- Define base period (cohort) and follow-up period
- Assign individuals' dispositions based on HCV surveillance labs
- Select demographic characteristics to inform subpopulation analysis
- Populate Excel template (see tool)
- Review and analyze cascade
- Implement action steps (D2C)



MODULE 3: CREATING THE VIRAL CLEARANCE CASCADE



Snapshot of Jurisdictional Data Collection Tool





Baseline Demographics for HIV/HCV Cohort as of 12/31/2019

Demographic variable		HIV (N=84,955)		HCV (N=519,957)		Coinfected (N=7,827)		
Den	lographic variable	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Race								
White / Cauca	isian	42,816	66.8%	155,845	72.0%	3,94	2 60.8%	
Black or African American		27,253	42.5%	45,434	21.0%	2,21	7 34.2%	
Asian		1,163	1.8%	1,921	0.9%	61	0.9%	
Native Hawaiia	an or Pacific Islander	121	0.2%	143	0.1%	5	0.1%	
American India	an / Alaska Native	959	1.5%	4,453	2.1%	96	1.5%	
Other		1,778	2.8%	8,645	4.0%	166	2.6%	
Unknown / Mi	ssing	10,865	12.8%	303,516	58.4%	1,34	5 17.2%	
Ethnicity								
Hispanic / Lati						4	4 36.7%	
Non-Hispanic						<u>(</u>	0 63.3%	
Unknown / M	• 6.1 times	as mar	וע HC∖	/ as H	IV		0.7%	
	0.00/	c	· .	с				
Current Age S	• 9.2% co-li	nfectioi	n rate	tor H	IV			
Age (all combi								
Age (all combi	 iviore mis 	Iviore missing data for HCV						
Age (all combi	compara		to 1111/					
(quartile 1)	compared	ιιο πιν						
Age (all combi	• HIV/ bigb(or porce						
(quartile 3)		er perce	entag	e pers		וי		
Age (totals by	color						0.10/	
<18 (as possib	00101					-	0.1%	
10-20	 HCV: high 	er percentage white					9.1%	
20-33							0.1% 7 14 5%	
46-55						-	, <u>14.5%</u> 9 27.6%	
56-65						-	9 36.9%	
66-75		6.466	7.6%	50,380	10.0%	871	11.1%	
over 75 vears		1.167	1.4%	14.608	2.9%	63	0.8%	
Unknown / Mi	ssing	127	0.1%	16,781	3.2%	0	0.0%	
Sex at Birth						-		
Male		66,439	78.2%	226,259	62.0%	5,88	9 75.2%	
Female		18,515	21.8%	138,872	38.0%	1,94	6 24.9%	
Unknown/Missing		1	0.001%	2,946	0.6%	1	0.01%	

Demographic variable HIV (N=84,955) HCV (N=519,957) Coinfected (N= N % N % N % N Male-male sexual contact 43,446 55.7% 1,883 2 njection drug use 8,545 11.0% 3,291 4 Male-male sexual contact and injection 3,904 5.0% 821 1 drug use 43,446 55.7% 1,343 1 Dther 2,185 2.8% 151 2 Juknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 Wiral Load HIV Transmission: 347 4 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 4 Male-male sexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Dther 2,185 2.8% 347 4 4 Milv Suppr HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups 347 4 Miknown Malority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 5 5 6 56 - 12 mo <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>									
N % N % N HIV Transmission Type 43,446 55.7% 1,883 2 Male-male sexual contact 43,446 55.7% 1,883 2 Male-male sexual contact and injection 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Hiv guse 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Other 2,185 2.8% 151 2 Juknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 2 HIV Suppr months) HIV Transmission: 347 347 Viral Load Unknown HIV Transmission: 347 347 O HIV Ipredominantly MSM 9347 347 O HIV Ipredominantly MSM 0 HIV VL Senotype Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 43593 Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) Nost recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)		Demo	graphic variable	HIV (N=	84,955)	HCV (N=5	19,957)	Coinfected (N=7,827)
HIV Transmission Type 43,446 55.7% 1,883 2 mjection drug use 8,545 11.0% 3,291 4 Male-male sexual contact and injection 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Other 2,185 2.8% 151 2 Jnknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 2 HIV Suppr HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups 347 2 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 347 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 2 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 2 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 2 0 HIV ip redominantly MSM HIV HCV: IDU 10 * Majority (>60%) had Undetectable HIV VL 10 10 10 * 12 - 13 m Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) 10 * Marce and the past for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%) 201 201 * 4 year 84,9				N	%	N	%	N	%
Male-male sexual contact 43,446 55.7% 1,883 2 njection drug use 8,545 11.0% 3,291 4 Male-male sexual contact and injection 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Dther 2,185 2.8% 151 7 Jnknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 Wiral Load HIV Vs HIV/HCV Groups 347 4 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 4 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 0 HIV: predominantly MSM O HIV/HCV: IDU Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 4 * Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) 4 * Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)	IV Transr	nissio	п Туре						
njection drug use 8,545 11.0% 3,291 4 Male-male sexual contact and injection drug use 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 131 1. Unknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 HIV Suppr months) Viral Load Viral Load Vira	Aale-male	sexua	al contact	43,446	55.7%			1,883	25.1%
Male-male sexual contact and injection 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Other 2,185 2.8% 151 7 Juknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 HIV Suppr months) HIV Transmission: O HIV: predominantly MSM 347 4 Viral Load Unknown Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 6 90 8 * HIV Transmission: O HIV/HCV: IDU Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 6 6 * Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) 6 90 available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV * Nost recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV 700 700 700 * S year Inknown / Missing 92,303 17.8% 291 2 * Inserver 84,959 20.4% 2,632 3 * Year 84,959 20.4% 2,632 3 * Year 84,959 10.5% 1,211 1	njection d	rug us	se	8,545	11.0%			3,291	43.9%
drug use 3,904 5.0% 821 1 Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Other 2,185 2.8% 151 2 Jnknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 7 HIV Suppr 6,990 8.2% 347 7 Wiral Load HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups 347 7 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 347 7 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 110//HCV: IDU 110//HCV: IDU Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 12 - 18 m Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 Most recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)	Aale-male	sexua	al contact and injection						
Heterosexual contact (male-female) 19,875 25.5% 1,343 1 Other 2,185 2.8% 151 7 Unknown (Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 HIV Suppr HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups 347 4 Wiral Load HIV Transmission: 0 HIV: predominantly MSM 4 Viral Load 0 HIV/HCV: IDU 9 Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 5 Senotype • Majority (>60%) had Undetectable HIV VL • Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 Senotype • Most recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)	lrug use			3,904	5.0%			821	11.0%
Other 2,185 2.8% 151 347 Unknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 HIV Suppr HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups 447 4 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 0 HIV: predominantly MSM 0 Viral Load HIV Transmission: 0 HIV: predominantly MSM 0 Viral Load Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 4 4 Sentype Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 4 4 Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) 4 Most recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)	leterosexu	ual co	ntact (male-female)	19,875	25.5%			1,343	17.9%
Unknown / Missing 6,990 8.2% 347 4 HIV Suppr months) HIV Vs HIV/HCV Groups HIV Transmission: 0 HIV: predominantly MSM 0 HIV/HCV: IDU 0 HIV/HCV: IDU 0 HIV VL 0 HIV (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL 0 HIV (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 Months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) 0 Most recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%)	Other			2,185	2.8%			151	2.0%
HIV Suppr months) HIV vs HIV/HCV Groups Viral Load Viral Load Unknown • HIV Transmission: • HIV: predominantly MSM • HIV/HCV: IDU Senotype • HIV/HCV: IDU Senotype • Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL * Majority (>86%) had Undetectable HIV VL * 12 - 18 m * Majority (>60%) had HIV lab tests in the past 6 months (i.e., engaged in HIV care) • Most recent HCV lab tests (<1 year ago) are available for HIV/HCV co-infected vs HCV monoinfected (34.6% vs 20.4%) * 5 years • 100 pCR) test Inknown / Missing 92,303 17.8% 291 3 10.5%	Jnknown /	/ Miss	ing	6,990	8.2%			347	4.4%
Unknown / Missing 92,303 17.8% 291 3 Time since most recent HCV (Ab or PCR) 84,959 20.4% 2,632 3 <1 year 84,959 20.4% 2,632 3 1 - <2 years 43,593 10.5% 1,211 1	/iral Load /iral Load Jnknown ime since Genotype :=6 mos :6 - 12 mo :12 - 18 m :18 mos Jnknown :ime since Ab or PCF :1 year :- <2 year :- <5 year := 5 years	•	HIV HIV Transmiss O HIV: pred O HIV/HCV Majority (>869 Majority (>609 months (i.e., e Most recent H available for H monoinfected	vs HIV sion: domina (: IDU %) had %) had engage ICV lab HIV/HC (34.6%	Antly N Unde HIV la d in HI tests V co-ii % vs 20	/SM tectabl b tests V care (<1 yea nfected).4%)	ps e HIV in th) ar agc d vs H	' VL e past (o) are CV	6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Time since most recent HCV (Ab or PCR) Image: Constraint of the sector of the sect	Jnknown /	/ Miss	ing			92,303	17.8%	291	3.7%
test <1 year	ime since	most	recent HCV (Ab or PCR)						
<1 year 84,959 20.4% 2,632 3 1 - <2 years	est								
1 - <2 years 43,593 10.5% 1,211 1	<1 year					84,959	20.4%	2,632	34.6%
	- <2 year	S				43,593	10.5%	1,211	15.9%
2 - <5 years 82,573 19.9% 1,980 2	2 - <5 years					82,573	19.9%	1,980	26.0%
>= 5 years 204,213 49.2% 1,789 2	>= 5 years					204,213	49.2%	1,789	23.5%
Unknown / Missing 90,116 17.3% 224 (Unknown / Missing					90,116	17.3%	224	0.3%

HCV Aggregate Viral Clearance Cascade for HIV/HCV Co-infected Persons from Six Jurisdictions using Surveillance Data (Status as of 12/31/2019)



(AZ, CT, FL (Orange County), KY, MI, NV)

Laboratory-Based HCV Viral Clearance Cascades for Persons with HIV/HCV Coinfection: Longitudinal Comparison for 6 Jurisdictions



*Cascades include data for the following jurisdictions: AZ. CT, FLOC, MI, NV, & PR; based on surveillance data except for PR which used CAREWare data

Gap Analysis of Outcomes: Connecticut

Table 3. Relationship between birth year, sex, race and ethnicity, HIV transmission category, and most recent HIV viral load and initial HCV viral testing status or cured or cleared of HCV infection status among people with HIV and HCV coinfection, Connecticut, based on laboratory tests from January 1, 2016, through August 3, 2020

	People	, no. (%)		
Variable	Cured or cleared (n = 336)	Not cured or cleared (n = 529)		
Birth year				
Before 1966	222 (66.1)	351 (66.4)	0.99 (0.74-1.32)	
1966 and later	114 (33.9)	178 (33.6)	I [Reference]	
Sex				
Female	97 (28.9)	155 (29.3)	I [Reference]	
Male	239 (71.1)	374 (70.7)	1.02 (0.76-1.38)	
Race and ethnicity ^{a,b}				
Non-Hispanic Black	108 (32.4)	208 (39.8)	0.81 (0.56-1.18)	
Hispanic	151 (45.3)	199 (38.0)	1.19 (0.83-1.71)	
Non-Hispanic White	74 (22.2)	116 (22.2)	I [Reference]	
HIV transmission category				
Heterosexual	35 (10.4)	55 (10.4)	1.04 (0.66-1.63)	
MSM	21 (6.3)	38 (7.2)	0.90 (0.52-1.57)	
MSM and PWID ^d	16 (4.8)	20 (3.8)	1.30 (0.66-2.56)	
Other/unknown	19 (5.7)	17 (3.2)	1.82 (0.93-3.57)	
PWID	245 (72.9)	399 (75.4)	I [Reference]	
Most recent HIV viral load level, copies/mL	. ,			
Detectable (≥200)	26 (7.7)	78 (14.7)	I [Reference]	
Undetectable (<200)	310 (92.3)	451 (85.3)	2.06 (1.29-3.29) ^f	

Wegener M, Brooks R, Speers S, Nichols L, Villanueva M. Implementing a Surveillance-Based Approach to Create a Statewide Viral Clearance Cascade for Hepatitis C Among People with HIV and HCV Coinfection in Connecticut, Public Health Reports, 2023; 0(0). PMID: 37232422 doi:10.1177/00333549231172173

Clinic Cascade of Care – Outreach and Linkage

Outreach and Linkage: Key Steps to Approach to Data to Care



Review of Case Conference Methodology & Tool

- Case Conferencing Data Tool
 - Demographics (for ID matching), Treatment Status, Barriers to Care
- Data Tool automatically generates cascades
 - More granular than CDC viral clearance cascades
- Yellow fields are minimum needed for cascade creation



Case Case Conference Methodology



Health Department Champion Meets with Clinic Champion Review HCV Treatment Status

Create Clinic-Specific HCV Care Cascade

Assess Barriers and implement Linkage Plan

Example of Clinic-Based Care Cascade Using Case Conferencing Tool



Eliminating Hepatitis C Virus within the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program: Data to Care Approaches

Arlis Jenkins, MPH Alena Pittman, MsC

Overview

01 Background

02 Implementation

03 Lessons Learned

Background

Arizona Department of Health Services

The HIV and Hepatitis C Programs are responsible for the surveillance and prevention throughout the State of Arizona. Including:

- Collection and analysis of epidemiological data.
- Case investigations & patient navigation.
- Co-facilitation of community advisory groups such as SWAG and Hep Free AZ.

Health and Wellness for all Arizonans.

Population: 7,359,197 Jurs: 15 Counties & 22 Tribal Jurisdictions Border State: Mexico

By the Numbers:

HCV

Implementation

Creating Cascades

Care Cascades

Statewide HIV/HCV Care Cascade

Statewide Ryan White Care Cascade

Demographics for All Patients

All Dually Diagnosed Individuals by Race/Ethnicity

Demographics for All RW Patients

Clinic Collaborations

Selecting Clinics

ADHS Arizona Department of Health Services, Healthcare Champion, Phoenix, AZ **North Country** Northern Arizona & specializes in rural medicine

El Rio Southern Arizona & largest FQHC by volume

Valleywise Central Arizona & largest FQHC HIV clinic

Lessons Learned

Successes

- Unified CAREWare and recurring reporting
- Creation of Care Cascades for dually diagnosed individuals
- Improved cross-program relationships
- Established clinic relationships
- Improved understanding of patient barriers
- Better comprehension of how to sustain these efforts in the future for the state as a whole

Challenges

- Lack of negative hepatitis C reporting
- CareWare validation and reconciliation with eHARS
- Coordination among all involved within the project
 - and across data systems
- Capacity and resources
- Competing responsibilities

Advice for Jurisdictions

- Determine communication preferences and availability prior to starting work.
 - Example: How many hours can be allocated to this care cascade per week?
- Have a clear understanding of the available data systems including the strengths and challenges of each.
 - Example: The more data systems involved the harder it becomes and more room for error.
- Familiarize yourself with the care cascade model in advance and prioritize data points that will help improve patient outcomes.
- Maintain and work to strengthen working relationships between all involved parties.

Thank You!

Contact: Arlis Jenkins: <u>arlis.jenkins@azdhs.gov</u> Alena Pittman: <u>alena.pittman@azdhs.gov</u>

IMPLEMENTATION SUGGESTIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

SEPTEMBER 26, 2023

CREATING THE JURISDICTION AND RWHAP HCV CLEARANCE CASCADES

KEY STEPS IN CREATING CLEARANCE CASCADES

Prepping and Cleaning Data

Matching Data

Populating the HCV Clearance Cascade Templates

Calculating the HCV Clearance Cascades HCV SURVEILLANCE DATA QUALITY AND LAB REPORTING

- Review current HCV reporting requirements to understand how data may impact clearance cascade results
- Leverage HIV surveillance data to improve HCV surveillance data quality
- Unpackage labs as needed
- Ensure person working with data understands HCV
- Enhance lab reporting to include negative PCRs and standalone PCRs

PROGRAM INTEGRATION AND ONGOING COLLABORATION

- Leverage existing integration and collaboration to decrease barriers
- Engage staff from all programs at the beginning of the project and hold regular meetings
- Review organizational P&P to determine requirements for sharing data; engage legal/privacy team if needed
- Crosstrain/share staff with experience with both surveillance datasets to facilitate process

MATCHING HISTORY AND APPROACH

- Ensure you have the resources needed if first time matching
- Plan for review process across datasets
- Maintain field(s) in your matched data that enables you to reference the original list(s) created
- Identify impact on match based on available RWHAP data scope and inclusion criteria

STAFFING AND RESOURCES

- Plan for more resource investment initially if new to matching or collaboration
- Align approach to D2C with existing resources to increase sustainability
- Designate an HCV champion to keep the project moving forward
- Develop documentation/formal protocols and policies for D2C activities

CLINIC-BASED OUTREACH AND LINKAGE TO CARE

KEY STEPS IN HCV D2C OUTREACH AND LINKAGE

PUBLIC HEALTH STATUTE AND DATA SHARING

- Identify any data sharing issues early in the project; don't wait until you're ready to work with clinics
- Engage legal/privacy staff early in the project
- Review RWHAP client consent to determine any data sharing barriers
- Include activities like D2C in RWHAP contracts/ agreements with clinics

SELECTING AND PREPARING CLINICS

- Use RWHAP jurisdiction staff to engage clinics for participation
- Clearly outline project expectations at time of clinic recruitment
- Identify clinics with D2C experience and existing HCV treatment capacity
- Choose health department clinics if data sharing barriers anticipated
- Fund clinics if at all possible
- Identify a lead/champion at the clinic (and a lead at the jurisdiction with whom they will work)
- Determine if IRB or other approval needed

USING RWHAP DATA SYSTEMS TO CREATE CLINIC LISTS OF PWH

- Limit data to most recent time period feasible
- Ensure that people on list are active RWHAP clients at the clinic
- Ask clinic to identify additional clients who weren't on list
- Take clinic structure into consideration when creating list
 - Ensure that clients are receiving OAHS at clinic, not solely support services

IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORT RESOURCES

- TargetHIV page with project information and resources: <u>https://targethiv.org/spns/hiv-</u> <u>hcv-dtc</u>
 - Recorded webinars
 - Implementation Manual with steps and lessons learned to support replication
 - Videos and companion guide
 - Clearance cascade and case conferencing tools

POLL QUESTION

- Which of the following best describes how HCV Data to Care for people with HIV fits into your current activities?
 - We're already doing this so we're all set!
 - We're not doing this but plan to add this to our current activities
 - We can't do this now but maybe in the future (please chat in any barriers)
 I'm not sure

CONTACTS

- Yale: Merceditas Villanueva, MD, merceditas.villanueva@yale.edu
- Arizona Department of Health Services
 - Arlis Jenkins: <u>arlis.jenkins@azdhs.gov</u>
 - Alena Pittman: <u>alena.pittman@azdhs.gov</u>
- Mission: Ellie Coombs, <u>ecoombs@mission-ag.com</u>