NATIONAL PARAMETER STREAMENT



Routinizing Data to Care within Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part A and Part B Jurisdictions



Lessons Learned from Three Data-to-Care Projects in Massachusetts

Nina Kishore, M.P.A., M.A.T., M.S., M.P.H.

Epidemiologist

Massachusetts Department of Public Health

Utilizing HRSA and CDC Support to Build, Test, and Adapt Interventions

- HRSA SPNS: Systems Linkage and Access to Care for Populations at High Risk of HIV Infection: Strategic Peer-Enhanced Collaborative Treatment and Retention Model (SPECTRuM)
- HRSA Bureau of Primary Health Care (BPHC) and CDC National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP): Partnerships for Care (P4C)
- CDC NCHHSTP: Cooperative Re-Engagement Controlled Trial (CoRECT)



SPECTRUM

- Developed communication system between MDPH and 8 clinical sites to facilitate reengagement and retention in care, and achievement of HIV viral suppression
 - Created HIV laboratory database, communication protocols, and list of reporting providers
 - MDPH sent line list to clinical sites; clinical sites responded with follow-up list sent to MDPH
- Enhanced services for clients in need of care & treatment retention support
 - Developed standardized acuity assessment tool to identify clients and track progress in managing barriers to retention in care and treatment
 - Created peer/nurse service model to provide intensive, short-term, field-based services to small caseload of clients
- Results:
 - Compared to Controls, SPECTRuM clients achieved higher engagement, retention and HIV viral suppression
 - Participating facilities changed their practices to maintain methods for internal communication and activation of intervention for high-need patients



Partnerships for Care

- Improve continuity of care for PLWH through collaboration between public health and primary care
 - Data system enhancements to support identification of out-of-care
 - Public health work force training/development to deliver linkage and engagement assistance
 - Strengthen collaboration between public health and primary care
- Developed data sharing strategies for MDPH and 6 CHCs
 - Independent out-of-care lists: clinic (missed visits, medications); surveillance (lab results)
 - Monthly case reconciliation conference
- Established routine HIV public health intervention
 - Newly diagnosed HIV+ for partner services
 - Locate out-of-care individuals and assist re-engagement in care
- Results:
 - Use of surveillance and clinic data and adjudication process identified <u>truly</u> out-of-care compared to surveillance data only
 - Clinics can feasibly use data from health records to identify out-of-care individuals.
 - Public health-delivered intervention successfully re-engages out-of-care individuals.



CORECT

- Study to evaluate effectiveness of public health intervention compared to clinic standard of care to re-engage and retain patients in HIV medical care
- Identification of out-of-care
 - Independent out-of-care lists: clinic (missed visits, medications); surveillance (lab results)
 - Monthly case reconciliation conference
- Out-of-care individuals of 9 clinical sites were randomized to receive either the facility's standard of care for out-of-care patients or a re-engagement intervention from MDPH field epidemiologists
- Examined costs associated with delivery of Health-Department intervention
- Results:
 - Enrollment of 600 patients is complete, and analysis underway
 - Clinic-surveillance data adjudication process is feasible and efficient



Lessons Learned: Program Design

- There are clinic and surveillance data efficiencies in identifying out-of-care individuals who would benefit from re-engagement assistance.
- Collaboration between public health and clinics is feasible and promotes improved engagement in care.
- Before initiating D2C, ensure that there is 'buy in' among key leaders (e.g. medical directors, program managers, etc.).
- Establish clear work flows documented in process maps and ensure that staff understand new systems and their own, and each other's, roles.
- Ensure that staff have adequate time to implement their roles by ensuring dedicated FTEs, especially for data management staff.
- Establish mechanism for updating reporting provider lists on routine basis.
- Develop mechanism to improve the quality of data completeness by incorporating information received during data reconciliation.
- Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles are efficient ways of exploring new ideas.



Lessons Learned: Staff

- Invest in regular, high quality clinical supervision.
- Ensure that staff have access to necessary client/patient data, confidential space, a dedicated telephone, policies and protocols allowing staff to engage in fieldbased services, and adequate field safety supports.
- Hire staff with demographic characteristics and linguistic capacities reflective of communities served.
- Provide regular opportunities for training and technical assistance, inclusive of peer-to-peer networking and problem-solving sessions.
- Ensure that staff have updated, comprehensive resource lists that include names and telephone numbers of program contacts.
- Build administrative support in implementation plan





Questions?