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Housing is unique as a social determinant of health shaping our daily lives – but also manifestation of broader, antecedent, structural processes of inequality and marginalization that are fundamental drivers of HIV vulnerability and poor outcomes among the infected.
INTRODUCTION

- Housing is an (intermediary) social determinant of health and health equity
- Fundamental determinants of health are macro level social, cultural, economic, political policies and dynamics that affect
- Socioeconomic positions of individuals, groups, communities within society
  - determines access to education, work, income
  - Influenced by gender, race/ethnicity, other bases of social inclusion/ exclusion
- Socioeconomic status determines exposure to health compromising or health promoting conditions - to "intermediary" social determinants
INTRODUCTION

- Housing links “upstream” economic, social, and cultural determinants to the more immediate physical and social environments in which we carry out our day-to-day lives.

- Housing is where our economic, social, and personal lives come together.

  "Our health is determined by resources and supports available in our homes, neighborhoods, and communities"
  
  --Healthy People 2020

- The "housing system" as well as the health system affects exposure and vulnerability to broader social determinants of disease or injury as well as their consequences.
Fundamental and Intermediary Social Determinants of Health Conceptual Framework

Fundamental Causes/Social Determinants of Health
- Socioeconomic and political context
  - Governance
  - Policies: Economic, Legal, Social, Health
  - Culture and Societal Values
- Socioeconomic position
  - Education
  - Occupation
  - Income
  - Gender
  - Race/Ethnicity

Intermediary Determinants of Health
- Material Circumstances
  - Housing, Neighborhood environment; Food security; Income source
- Behaviors
  - Diet; Substance use/smoking; Chronic disease management; ARV Adherence
- Psychosocial Factors
  - Psychosocial stressors; Social Support; Social Integration
- Biological Factors
  - Aging; Co-morbidities; Genetic Factors

Health System
- Housing Assistance System
- Social Welfare System
- Law Enforcement System

Health Outcomes
- Wellbeing
- Health Equity

Adapted from WHO, CSDH (2010); CDC, NCHHSTP (2010).
① Macro level structural determinants of health that affect socioeconomic positions of individuals and communities →

② SES determines our access to resources, life conditions, and life chances (the ‘social determinants of health’ as usually understood) →

③ Intermediary determinants of health link the ‘upstream’ economic, social, cultural determinants to the more immediate physical and social environments in which we carry out our day-to-day lives
Direct and Indirect Effects of Housing

- Lack of stable, secure, adequate housing:
  - Lack of protected space to maintain physical and psychological well-being
  - Constant stress producing environments and experiences
  - Neighborhoods of disadvantage and disorder
  - Compromised identity and agency
  - Press of daily needs - barrier to service use when available
  - Lack resources for nutritious food, medical costs
  - Transiency - barrier to stable sources of social support
  - Structuring the private sphere—lack of housing is barrier to forming stable intimate relationships
Systematic Review of the Research

- Pulling together the evidence
- Increasing number of studies have shown housing is linked with the risk for HIV exposure and transmission, and the care and health of persons living with HIV/AIDS
- Canadian and US researchers conducted a systematic review to examine and summarize available evidence
- Why systematic review?
  -- Synthesizing all of the available research evidence reduces the likelihood of being misled by a single or a few studies and increases confidence in the findings
  -- Helpful knowledge translation tool - allows knowledge users to go to one source to access research evidence about a particular question
Methods

- **SEARCH STRATEGY**
  (housing OR dwelling OR homeless OR homelessness OR living accommodation OR residence OR residential)
  AND
  (HIV OR PHA OR PWHA OR PLWA OR PLWHA)

- **DATABASES**
  Medline, PsychInfo, Healthstar, Embase, Sociological Abstracts and Social Science Abstracts, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library

- **DATES 1996 - April 2014**
  Also contacted experts to identify any additional relevant studies

Selection Criteria

- Sample must include people living with HIV/AIDS
  - will do separate review with people at-risk for HIV/AIDS
- Studies with analysis of empirical data with at least:
  - one measure of housing status as an independent variable
  - at least one quantitative health outcome as a dependent variable
- Conducted in high resource country
  - U.S. Canada, Europe, etc.
Definitions

- **Housing status**: any measure of homelessness, marginal housing, housing instability, or quality of housing

- **Health-related outcomes**:
  - HIV medical care (e.g. access to treatment and care, service utilization, adherence to treatment)
  - Clinical health outcomes (e.g. CD4 count, viral load, mortality)
  - Other health outcomes (e.g. co-morbidities, mental health, physical health functioning, quality of life)
  - HIV drug and sex risk-behaviors
From 5289 studies screened, 152 meet review criteria

- 112 in USA, 27 in Canada, 12 in Europe, 1 S Korea
- Represents 139,757 HIV positive study participants
Reviewing and Quality Appraisal

- Two independent reviewers determined eligibility, two assessed methodological quality of each article.
- Considered appropriate methods for measuring exposure, outcomes, and methods to control confounding:
  - **exposure** (i.e. housing) good = clear and replicable definition of housing status including time specifier.
  - **outcome measures** (i.e. HIV care, viral load, etc.) good = objective measure based on clinical assessment or validated self-report measure.
  - **controls for confounding** good = appropriate analytic methods to control for other variables known to be associated with outcome including at least one SES indicator (e.g. poverty, type insurance) and one behavioral health indicator (substance use, mental health symptoms).
HOUSING & HIV MEDICAL CARE
Health Care Outcomes

- 35 papers examined access to HIV medical care and medications, service utilization

- 33 (94%) found worse HIV medical care outcomes among those who were homeless/ unstable/ inadequately housed compared to PLWH 'better' housing

- 29 (83%) reported statistically significant differences comparing homeless/ unstable/ inadequate housed PLW and those with stable, appropriate housing
## HIV Health Care Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Health Care Access / Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT Housing Interventions</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Studies</td>
<td>9/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional Studies</td>
<td>23/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of papers</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Worse outcomes for homeless/unstably/ inadequately housed PHAs compared to others among studies that considered this outcome.
Adherence

- 30 papers examined housing status and adherence to ARV treatment regimens
- 28 (93%) found worse adherence among those who were homeless or unstably housed
- 24 (80%) reported statistically significant differences in adherence comparing homeless/unstable PLW and those with stable housing
## Adherence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Negative outcome</th>
<th>Stat Signif</th>
<th>% Stat Signif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCT Housing Interventions</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Studies</td>
<td>10/11</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional Studies</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>15/18</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total number of papers**: 30, 24, 80.0%
ER Visits/Hospital Stays

- 13 papers examined housing status emergency room visits and/or hospital inpatient stays among PLWH.
- 13/13 (100%) found higher rates of ER visit or inpatient stays among those who were homeless or unstably housed.
- 12/13 (92%) reported statistically significant differences comparing homeless/unstable PLW and those with stable housing.
- ER/Inpatient service utilization indicator of poor engagement with HIV primary care with implications for health of PLWH and health care cost savings.
HIV Clinical Outcomes
HIV Clinical Health Outcomes

- 27 papers looked at HIV-related health outcomes CD4 counts, viral load, opportunistic infections, mortality
- 24 (89%) found worse HIV-related health outcomes among those who were homeless or unstably housed
- 20 (74%) reported statistically significant differences comparing homeless/unstable PLW and those with stable housing
- 5 of 8 mortality studies found housing status associated with HIV mortality risk - studies that assessed lifetime homelessness or poor housing at diagnosis less likely show association with mortality
## HIV Clinical Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Viral load / CD4 count</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative outcome⁠¹</td>
<td>Stat Signif</td>
<td>% Stat Signif</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT Housing Interventions</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Studies</td>
<td>13/15</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional Studies</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of papers</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Health Outcomes

- 27 papers looked at other health outcomes – mental health, health comorbidities, health functioning or quality of life etc.

- 26 (96%) found higher rates of other health outcomes among those who were homeless or unstably housed

- 25 (93%) reported statistically significant differences comparing homeless/ unstably housed PLW and those with stable housing
Housing and HIV Risk Behaviors
HIV Risk Behaviors

- 22 papers examined HIV sex and drug risk behaviors – needle using and sharing, sex exchange, unprotected sex, etc.

- 22 (100%) found higher rates of risk behavior among those who were homeless or unstably housed

- 18 (82%) reported statistically significant differences comparing homeless/ unstably housed PLW and those with stable housing
## HIV Risk Behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sex or Drug Risk Behavior</th>
<th>Negative outcome</th>
<th>Stat Signif</th>
<th>% Stat Signif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCT Housing Interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>10/12</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of papers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>81.8%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIV Care Cascade

OVERALL: Of the 1.1 million Americans living with HIV, only 25 percent are virally suppressed.
Housing and the Treatment Cascade

- PLWH who are homeless or unstably housed:
  - More likely to delay entry into care
  - Experience discontinuous care – lack of retention
  - Not be receiving medical care that meets minimal clinical practice guidelines
  - Less likely to be on ARVs or adherent to regimen
  - Less likely achieve sustained viral suppression
Housing Assistance = Better Outcomes

- Homeless/unstably housed PLWHA whose housing status improves over time are:
  - more likely to report HIV primary care visits, continuous care, care that meets clinical practice standards
  - more likely to return to care after dropout
  - more likely to be receiving ARVs
  - more likely to be virally suppressed

- Housing status significant predictor of health care access & outcomes regardless of other barriers to prevention and care
Cost Considerations

Review did not consider cost studies BUT other research:

- Two large-scale intervention studies examine the impact of housing on health care utilization & outcomes among homeless/unstably housed persons with HIV & other chronic medical conditions

- The Chicago Housing for Health Partnership followed 407 chronically ill homeless persons over 18 months following discharge from hospitals

- The Housing and Health (H&H) Study examined the impact of housing on HIV risk behaviors and medical care among 630 homeless/unstably housed HIV+ persons

- Findings: Investment in housing is cost effective
Healthy Homes

A study of ill homeless people in Chicago found that those who received housing required fewer public services than those who received usual care.

Estimated costs over 18 months, in millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Housed group</th>
<th>Usual care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations</td>
<td>$3.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home stays</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency room visits</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated total costs

- Group given housing*: $5.5 million
- Usual care: $6.9 million

Mary Pelts, in her kitchen. She was in the usual care group, but got an apartment through CHHP after the study ended.

*Includes housing and case management costs

Source: Chicago Housing for Health Partnership

INNOVATION IN HIV CARE
**H & H Cost Study Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Service Delivery Costs Per Client</th>
<th>Payor Perspective</th>
<th>Societal Perspective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$9256 - $11651</td>
<td>$10048 - $14032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of transmissions need to be averted to be cost-saving or cost-effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost-saving threshold</th>
<th>Cost-effective threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 per 19 clients</td>
<td>1 per 64 clients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medical costs saved with single transmission prevented = $316,000

Cost-per-quality-adjust-life-year-saved by H&H = $62,500 comparable to many widely accepted health interventions
Housing as cost-effective health care

- H&H results made it possible for the first time to evaluate the “cost-utility” of housing as an HIV risk reduction & treatment intervention based on cost per quality adjusted life year (QALY) saved.

- “Cost per QALY” is the measure used by health economists to compare the value for money of health care interventions.

- The cost-utility of the H&H housing is a function of the cost of services provided, transmissions averted, medical costs saved, and life years saved.

- H&H findings confirm that housing is a cost effective health care intervention for PLWHA with QALY ($62,493) well within generally accepted standards to label an intervention as cost-effective.

*Holtgrave et al. AIDS Behav 2013;17(5):1626-31*
Summary

- Findings show homelessness/unstable/inadequate housing is consistently associated with worse engagement with HIV health care - poor retention in care, lack of ART uptake, lack of adherence to treatment.
- Homelessness/unstable/inadequate housing associated with poor HIV clinical outcomes - failure to achieve viral suppression.
- Homelessness/unstable housing also associated with increased sex and drug risk behaviors.
- Better/Improved housing associated with retention in care, ART uptake, treatment success.
Policy Implications

- Housing status is strongly associated with HIV medical care and outcomes - and medical expenditures
- Homelessness/unstable/adequate housing contribute to continued HIV transmission
- Housing is a promising structural intervention to stop the spread of HIV and improve the health of individuals and communities most affected by the epidemic
- Housing can be a cost savings/cost effective prevention and treatment intervention
Role of housing in plans to end AIDS

- Homelessness and unstable housing drive HIV health disparities
  - CDC findings show that recent homelessness doubles the risk of HIV infection in low-income communities of color in urban centers
  - Rates of homelessness and housing instability are high across the US – HUD has reported as many as 145,000 households with HIV have an unmet housing need
  - PWH with mental illness and/or substance use issues experience the highest rates of homelessness and housing instability

- Increased action to address housing instability is necessary to
  - Support engagement / retention in effective ARV treatment
  - Stop HIV-related mortality
  - Reduce new infections
  - Lower costs by averting new HIV infections and reducing avoidable health care utilization
NY ETE Blueprint

“ETE’s key benchmark is lowering annual incident HIV infections to 750 by the end of 2020.”

- Developed by an ETE Taskforce of 63 experts & community members from across NYS
- Broad input including 294 proposed recommendations and 17 regional forums
- 30 Blueprint (BP) Recommendations of steps to get NYS to the goal of no more than 750 new infections by 2020
- 7 Getting to Zero (GTZ) Recommendations for additional steps toward 0 new infections, 0 AIDS deaths and 0 stigma
NY ETE Housing Recommendations

- **BP8:** Meet non-medical needs to ensure effective HIV care, including adequate, stable housing
- **BP9:** Discharge planning upon release from corrections & other institutions, including linkage to housing
- **BP16:** Ensure access to stable housing as an evidence-based HIV health intervention
- **BP29:** Track key metrics, including social determinants
- **GTZ1:** Provide a single point of access to housing and other benefits
Evidence Into Action: NYC Housing Supports

On August 29, 2016, NYC became the 1st jurisdiction in the world to guarantee housing for low-income PWH

- **“HASA for All” Campaign**
  - 1988 to 2016
  - Litigation; legislation; direct action; community planning
  - Multi-pronged & persistent advocacy strategies
  - Incremental progress
  - Victory in context of NYS Ending the Epidemic plan

- **30% Rent Cap Campaign**
  - 10 year campaign
  - Cost analyses vital
  - Grass-roots peer advocacy the key
  - Political changes and strategies won the day

- **Statewide campaigning continues!**
Evidence Into Action: Housing Works Housing Programs

- Housing assistance with linkage to health care and supports
- 216 units for extremely low-income households living with HIV
  - 167 units in Housing Works community residences (10 properties)
  - 49 “scatter site” units in rental apartments
  - Includes programs for transgender women and women leaving incarceration
  - Low-threshold, harm reduction housing approach

- Over 95% viral load suppression at all times past 12 months
  - Using ≤50 copies/ml as the measure
  - Viral load suppression elevated as a core housing program outcome
  - In the context of an agency wide Undetectables ARV adherence program

live undetectable
Tools for Advocacy: Cost Analyses

Improved housing status is cost effective

• Supports engagement / retention in effective ART
• Reduces avoidable health costs
• Stops new infections

Ending the HIV Epidemic (ETE) in NYS

Projected Fiscal Impact of Recommended Expansions of HIV Prevention, Antiretroviral Treatment, and Housing Supports

• 6-year $720 million investment to house up to 12,000 PWH
• Estimated to generate $1.72 billion in public savings
  • $1.08 billion in avoidable crisis & inpatient health care
  • $520 million by preventing 1,200 new infections
  • Up to $180 million in avoided homeless shelter use
Tools for Advocacy: Why Housing?

1. Unstable Housing
2. Social Isolation
3. Schedule Difficulties
4. Unable to Rest
5. Difficulty Managing HIV

Tools for Advocacy

National Center for Innovation in HIV Care
Tools for Advocacy: Housing & Connection to Care: New York City PLWH


For other reports: nyhiv
Tools for Advocacy: 5-Year Survival of Homeless People with AIDS in San Francisco

Available at [biomed central](http://biomedcentral.com)
Housing interventions: A core ETE component

- Critical enabler of HIV treatment
- HIV prevention to reduce the risk of ongoing HIV transmission
- Harm reduction intervention for active substance users
- Provides the stability necessary to empower residents to work towards employment & other life goals
- Cost-effective HIV prevention and care
ACT NOW: END AIDS

Launched September 2016
National Coalition to end the AIDS epidemic in the US

For more info contact  J.Benjamin@housingworks.org
Acknowledgements

- Review team for Systematic Review:
  - Angela Aidala, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health
  - Anne Bozack, New York Academy of Medicine
  - Maria Caban, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health
  - Lorie Chambers, Ontario HIV Treatment Network
  - David Gogolishvili, Ontario HIV Treatment Network
  - Sean Rourke, Ontario HIV Treatment Network
  - Sergio Rueda, Ontario HIV Treatment Network
  - Ginny Shubert, Shubert Botein Policy Associates & Housing Works
  - Michael Wilson, McMaster University; Ontario HIV Treatment Network

- Funders:
  Review supported by the Ontario HIV Treatment Network (OHTN), the National AIDS Housing Coalition (NAHC) and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of HIV/AIDS Housing

- Disclosures:
  The contents of this presentation are solei the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Ontario HIV Treatment Network or the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
  The presenters have no financial interest to disclose regarding this presentation.